R. Fujimoto · T. Nishio

Identification of S haplotypes in Brassica by dot-blot analysis of SP11 alleles

Received: 23 May 2003 / Accepted: 28 October 2002 / Published online: 12 February 2003 Springer-Verlag 2003

Abstract A self-incompatibility system is used for F_1 hybrid breeding in Brassicaceae vegetables. The determinants of recognition specificity of self-incompatibility in Brassica are SRK in the stigma and SP11/SCR in the pollen. Nucleotide sequences of SP11 alleles are more highly variable than those of SRK. We analyzed the S haplotype specificity of SP11 DNA by Southern-blot analysis and dot-blot analysis using 16 S haplotypes in Brassica oleracea, and found that DNA fragments of a mature protein region of $SP11$ cDNA, $SP11^m$, of eight S haplotypes can detect only the SP11 alleles of the same S haplotypes. This specificity makes these methods useful for S haplotype identification. Therefore, we developed two methods of dot-blot analysis for SP11. One is dot blotting of DNA samples, i.e. plant genomic DNA probed with labeled $SP11^m$, and the other is dot blotting of $SP11^m$ DNA fragments probed with labeled DNA samples, i.e. the SP11 coding region labeled by PCR using a template of plant genomic DNA. The former is useful for testing many plant materials. The latter is suitable, if there is no previous information on the S haplotypes of plant materials.

Keywords Brassicaceae vegetables · Dot-blot analysis · F_1 hybrid breeding \cdot Seed purity test \cdot Selfincompatibility · SP11/SCR

Introduction

Molecules participating in the self-recognition reaction between pollen and the stigma in self-incompatible Brassica species have been intensively studied, and the gene of the S-specific pollen protein, SP11/SCR (termed

Communicated by C. Möllers

R. Fujimoto \cdot T. Nishio (\otimes) Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 981-8555, Japan, e-mail: nishio@bios.tohoku.ac.jp Fax: +81-22-717-8654

SP11 hereafter), has recently been identified (Schopfer et al. 1999; Suzuki et al. 1999). The function of the S-locus receptor kinase (SRK) as a recognition molecule in the stigma has been demonstrated by plant transformation experiments (Takasaki et al. 2000; Silva et al. 2001). The genes for SP11 and SRK are closely linked to each other in the S locus, and the two alleles are transmitted to progeny as one set, which is referred to as the S haplotype. The distance between the *SP11* gene and the SRK gene, and the orientation of these genes in the S locus, are different between the S haplotypes (Schopfer et al. 1999; Takayama et al. 2000). SP11 binds SRK in an allele-specific manner (Kachroo et al. 2001; Takayama et al. 2001).

The self-incompatibility system has been successfully used for F_1 hybrid breeding in Brassicaceae vegetables, but the breeding methodology is still based on the classical genetics developed in the 1950s (Bateman 1955; Thompson 1957). Although dominant-recessive relationships between S haplotypes (Thompson and Taylor 1966; Hatakeyama et al. 1998) and the characteristics of some S haplotypes (Thompson 1972) have been elucidated, the S haplotypes of breeding lines are not necessarily identified in F_1 hybrid breeding. In order to use the information on S haplotypes effectively in practical breeding, the identification of S haplotypes is required.

Among several methods for S haplotype identification in Brassica, the simplest method is PCR-RFLP analysis of SLG, which is homologous and linked to SRK (Brace et al. 1993; Nishio et al. 1994). PCR-RFLP analysis of SRK alleles has also been found to be useful for S haplotype identification (Nishio et al. 1997). S haplotypes in many F_1 hybrid cultivars in cabbage, broccoli, Chinese cabbage, turnips and so on, have been identified by PCR-RFLP of SLG and SRK (Sakamoto et al. 2000; Sakamoto and Nishio 2001). However, some S haplotypes lack the SLG allele (Okazaki et al. 1999) and the DNA fragment amplified from some SRK alleles show the same band pattern in this analysis (Nishio et al. 1997). Furthermore, PCR-RFLP is not suitable for the analysis of many

1434

Table 1 Nucleotide sequences of the primers used for the amplification of $SP11^m$ DNA fragments

samples used in practical breeding. Another simpler method applicable to all S haplotypes is required.

Nucleotide sequences of SP11 alleles are highly variable (Watanabe et al. 2000; Sato et al. 2002). We showed that a DNA fragment of the SP11 allele hybridizes specifically to genomic DNA of the same allele, but not to the other genomic region in Brassica. Using this specificity of the SP11 alleles, we developed a simple method for S haplotype identification in Brassica.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Sixteen *S* homozygous lines in *Brassica oleracea* (*S*⁵, *S*⁶, *S*⁷, *S*⁸, *S*⁹, *S*¹, *S*¹, *S*¹, *S*¹, *S*¹, *S*², *S*²⁹, *S*³⁷, *S*⁵⁷, *S*⁵⁸, *S*⁶⁰ and *S*⁶⁵) and 16 F₂ plants between an S^{18} homozygote and an S^{39} homozygote were used as plant materials. S haplotypes of the $16 F₂$ plants were identified by PCR-RFLP analysis of SLG according to Nishio et al. (1996).

Southern-blot analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaf tissue by the CTAB method (Murray and Thompson 1980). The genomic DNA $(3 \mu g)$ digested with EcoRI was subjected to electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel and transferred to a nylon membrane (Nytran, Germany). Digoxigenin-labeled DNA probes were prepared by PCR using SP11 primers (SP11-2, 5'-TTCATATTCATCGTTT-CAAGTC-3' and RT-1, 5'-ACTGGAAGAATTCGCGGC-3') (Kimura et al. 2002) and SP11 cDNA clones (Sato et al. 2002) as templates. After denaturation by a solution containing 0.5 N NaOH and 1.5 M NaCl, the membranes were hybridized with the probes at 68 C. After hybridization, the membranes were washed twice in a solution containing 0.1% SSC and 0.1% SDS at 68 °C for 20 min.

Dot blotting of plant genomic DNA

Genomic DNA was spotted onto nylon membranes using two types of apparatus, BIO-DOT (BioLad, USA) and Multi-pin-blotter (Atto, Japan). Since the signal peptide region is conserved well in many S haplotypes, two kinds of DNA probes were prepared by PCR using the SP11 cDNA clones of eight S haplotypes as templates. One was the full-length of SP11 cDNA, named the SP11 probe. The other was the mature protein region of SP11 DNA, named the $SPII^m$ probe. The membranes were hybridized with these probes at 68 °C. After hybridization, the membranes were washed twice in the 0.1% SSC, 0.1% SDS solution at 68 °C for 20 min.

Dot blotting of cloned SP11 DNA

DNA fragments of the $SPII^m$ DNA of eight S haplotypes were spotted on a nylon membrane using the two types of apparatus. DNA probes were prepared by PCR using plant genomic DNA and S haplotype-specific primers (Table 1). The PCR condition involved 45 cycles, each consisting of 30 s of denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s of annealing at 50 °C and 10 s of extension at 72 °C. The membranes were hybridized at $68 \degree C$. After hybridization, the membranes were washed twice in a solution containing 0.05% SSC and 0.1% SDS at 68 °C for 20 min.

Results

Investigation of S-haplotype specificity of the SP11 probe

S-haplotype specificity of an SP11 probe in Southern-blot analysis has been reported (Schopfer et al. 1999). In this analysis, the probe of SP11-8 in Brassica rapa detected SP11-13 in B. oleracea. Since B. rapa S^8 is considered to have the same recognition specificity as *B*. *oleracea* S^{32} (Sato et al., submitted), detection of SP11-13 in B. oleracea by the SP11-8 probe indicates insufficient specificity of the *SP11* probe for use as an *S*-haplotypespecific probe. Therefore, in the present study, we assessed the S haplotype specificity of different alleles of SP11 using a different washing condition with 0.1% SSC and 0.1% SDS at 68 °C. In the analysis of 16 S haplotypes in *B. oleracea* using the *SP11* probe of S^{57} $(SPI1-57)$, a single band was observed only in an S^{57} homozygote (Fig. 1). S haplotype-specific detection of a single band was also observed with the probes of B. oleracea SP11-8 and SP11-12 (data not shown), suggest-

Fig. 1 Southern-blot analysis of genomic DNA of different S homozygotes in B. oleracea probed with SP11. SP11-57 cDNA was used as a probe. The arrow indicates the position of the sample well

Fig. 2 Dot-blot analysis of genomic DNA of different S homozygotes probed with $SP11$ and $SP11^m$. Plant genomic DNAs of 1, 2 and 5 μ g were spotted on the membrane. (a) SP11-25 probe, (b) $SP11-32$ probe, (c) $SP11^m-12$ probe, (d) $SP11^m-32$ probe

ing that the SP11 alleles in the genomic DNA can be detected by the SP11 probes in an S haplotype-specific manner with the present washing condition.

In the dot-blot analysis with the SP11 probe of S^{25} $(SPI1-25)$, a signal was observed only in an S^{25} homozygote (Fig. 2a). The SP11 probes of S^{57} and S^{60} also detected a signal only in S^{57} and S^{60} , respectively (data not shown), suggesting a high specificity of the SP11 probes. However, an SP11-32 probe detected not only a signal of an $S³²$ homozygote but also signals in 2- and 5- μ g dots of S^{12} and S^{13} homozygotes with a little lower intensity (Fig. 2b). The SP11 probes of other S haplotypes, $\overline{S^7}$, $\overline{S^8}$, $\overline{S^{12}}$ and $\overline{S^{14}}$, also detected signals in different S haplotypes as well as the signal of the SP11 alleles used as the probe (data not shown). These results indicate that the SP11 probes can detect the SP11 alleles that were used as the probe, but do not have sufficient specificity for use as S haplotype-specific probes for dot-blot analysis.

Since the signal peptide region of the SP11 gene has higher similarity between different SP11 alleles than the mature protein region, the $SP11^m$ probe was prepared by removing the signal peptide region. In dot-blot analysis using the $SP11^m$ probe produced from the $S¹²$ haplotypes $(SPII^m-12)$, clear signals were detected in only the $S¹²$ homozygote, although a faint signal was observed in a 5- μ g dot of S^{32} (Fig. 2c). The SPI1^m probe produced from the S^{32} haplotype $(SPII^{m-32})$ detected clear signals in only the S^{32} homozygote with a faint signal in a $\overline{5}$ -ug dot of S^{13} (Fig. 2d). The *SP11^m* probes of S^7 , S^{18} and S^{39} also

Fig. 3 Dot-blot analysis of genomic DNA in F_2 progeny of S^{18} and S^{39} homozygotes probed with $SPII^m$. (a) $SPII^{m}$ -18 probe. (b) $SPII^m - 39$ probe

detected clear signals only in the S haplotypes of the probes (data not shown), suggesting that the $SP11^m$ probes can be used as S haplotype-specific probes for dot-blot analysis.

Identification of S haplotypes by dot-blot analysis

The dot-blot analysis using the $SP11^m$ probe was applied to the identification of S haplotypes of seedlings. Sixteen F_2 progeny between S^{18} and S^{39} were analyzed. Signals were detected in 12 plants by the $SP11^m - 18$ probe and in 13 plants by the $\frac{SP1}{m-39}$ probe. Nine plants were positive to both probes (Fig. 3). This analysis indicates that the three plants negative to the $SP11^m - 39$ probe are S^{18} homozygotes, the four plants negative to the $SP11^m$ -18 probe are S^{39} homozygotes, and the other plants are the heterozygotes of S^{18} and S^{39} . These S genotypes assigned by this analysis corresponded to those by the PCR-RFLP analysis of the SLG alleles.

DNA fragments of $SPII^m$ amplified from eight $SPII$ cDNA clones $(S^7, S^8, S^{12}, S^{18}, S^{25}, S^{32}, S^{39}$ and S^{57}) were spotted onto a nylon membrane and hybridized with a probe labeled by PCR with the mixed primers using plant genomic DNA as a template. A signal was observed in only $SP11^{m}$ -7, when the genomic DNA of the $S⁷$ homozygote was used as a template for the probe. A probe of the $S^{18}S^{39}$ heterozygote detected signals in $SPII^{m}$ -18 and $SPII^{m}$ -39 (Fig. 4). The same membrane probed with the labeled DNA samples from S^8 , S^{12} , S^{25} , S^{32} and S^{57} homozygotes also showed signals in an S haplotype-specific manner (data not shown). These results suggest that two different methods of dot-blot analysis, i.e. dot blotting of DNA samples probed with labeled $SP11^m$ DNA and dot blotting of DNA fragments of $SP11^m$ probed with labeled DNA samples, can be used for S haplotype identification in Brassica.

1436

Fig. 4a, b Dot-blot analysis of $SPII^m$ DNA probed with labeled DNA samples. $SPII^m$ DNA fragments amplified by PCR were diluted to $1/1$, $1/10$, $1/100$ and $1/1,000$, and spotted on a membrane. (a) A DNA sample of an $S⁷$ homozygote labeled with PCR using $SP11^m$ -specific primers was employed as a probe. (b) A DNA sample of an $S^{18}S^{39}$ heterozygote labeled with PCR using $SP1I^m$ specific primers was employed as a probe

Discussion

Nucleotide sequence homology between the SP11 alleles of the S haplotypes used in the present study is 60.6% on the average, ranging from 45.0% to 80.8%. SLG and the S domain of SRK of these S haplotypes have higher sequence homology between alleles, 85.9% and 82.8% on the average, ranging from 61.2% to 93.7% and from 56.9% to 91.3%, respectively. SLG probes can detect SLG and SRK of different S haplotypes of the same class, class I or class II, in genomic Southern-blot analysis (Okazaki et al. 1999). On the other hand, the SP11 probe detected only the same SP11 allele in the genomic Southern-blot analysis in the present washing condition. Dot-blot analysis of genomic DNA probed with the SP11 cDNA gave positive signals for the same SP11 allele, but with a slightly higher background. Nucleotide sequence homology in the mature protein region, $SP11^m$, between different S haplotypes is 53.0% on the average, ranging from 44.4% to 76.3%. The $SP11^m$ DNA fragment was found to be a better probe for the S haplotype-specific detection of SP11 in the dot-blot analysis of genomic DNA.

We developed two methods of dot-blot analysis for SP11. One is dot blotting of DNA samples probed with labeled $SP11^m$, and the other is dot blotting of the $SP11^m$ DNA fragments probed with labeled DNA samples. Since there are many S haplotypes in a species, for example 50 in B. oleracea, the two methods can be applied to two different cases. If some information on S haplotypes of plant materials is available, the dot blotting of DNA samples probed with labeled $SP11^m$ is useful for testing of many plant materials. If there is no information on S haplotypes, this method may require hybridization with 50 probes. In this case, the dot blotting of the $SP11^m$ DNA fragments probed with labeled DNA samples is applicable. However, this method is not suitable for the analysis of many plant materials.

The PCR-RFLP analyses of the SLG and SRK alleles have been successfully used for seed-purity testing of F_1 hybrid cultivars (Sakamoto et al. 2000). Because of the applicability of the dot-blot analysis to many samples, the method developed in the present study can be used instead of PCR-RFLP. With the blotting apparatus used in this study, 384 or 882 DNA samples can be spotted on a membrane. Since two replications are necessary to avoid false-positive signals, 192 or 441 plants can be analyzed. PCR and electrophoretic analysis of 192 samples are labor intensive and costly.

The dot blotting of the $SP11^m$ DNA can be developed for micro-array analysis. However, micro-array analysis requires special equipment for the detection of signals and is costly. There are as many as 100 S haplotypes in a species. Therefore, dot-blot analysis is appropriate. Use of glass, or other materials instead of a nylon membrane, may reduce the time required for hybridization and detection.

In the present study, applicability of dot-blot analysis of SP11 alleles to S haplotype identification was demonstrated using 16 S haplotypes in B. oleracea. However, there may still be the possibility that there are different S haplotypes having highly similar SP11 alleles. For the establishment of dot-blot analysis as a method for S haplotype identification, complete collections of S haplotypes and nucleotide sequence data of the SP11 alleles are necessary. Almost all the S haplotypes in B. oleracea, namely 50 haplotypes, have been collected and identified by Ockendon (2000), and the SP11 sequences have been determined in about half of them, i.e. 24 SP11 alleles (Sato et al. 2002; Shiba et al. 2002). In B. rapa, the number of S haplotypes in a species has been estimated to be 100 (Nou et al. 1993), but only 36 S haplotypes have been collected and identified (Nou et al. 1993; Sakamoto and Nishio 2001) with 23 SP11 sequences (Watanabe et al. 2000; Shiba et al. 2002). In Raphanus sativus, 18 S haplotypes have been identified (Sakamoto et al. 1998), but there is no published *SP11* sequence. We are now trying to complete the collection of the S haplotypes of these three important vegetable species. Since the S haplotypes can be easily lost by genetic drift, and because a new S haplotype cannot be generated by a simple mutation event (Kimura et al. 2002), urgent collection is necessary for the maintenance of the genetic resources of the Brassicaceae. The present method will make it easier to collect a large number of S haplotypes without confusion of naming the S haplotypes.

Acknowledgements We express sincere thanks to Dr. Ockendon and Dr. Astley in HRI for providing plant materials. This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Special Research on Priority Areas (B)(11238202).

- Bateman AJ (1955) Self-incompatibility systems in angiosperms (III). Cruciferae. Heredity 9:52–68
- Brace J, Ockendon DJ, King GJ (1993) Development of a method for the identification of S-alleles in Brassica oleracea based on digestion of PCR-amplified DNA with restriction endonucreases. Sex Plant Reprod 6:133–138
- Hatakeyama K, Watanabe M, Takasaki T, Ojima K, Hinata K (1998) Dominance relationships between S-alleles in selfincompatible Brassica campestris L. Heredity 79:241–247
- Kachroo A, Schopfer CR, Nasrallah ME, Nasrallah JB (2001) Allele-specific receptor-ligand interactions in Brassica selfincompatibility. Science 293:1824–1826
- Kimura R, Sato K, Fujimoto R, Nishio T (2002) Recognition specificity of self-incompatibility maintained after the divergence of Brassica oleracea and Brassica rapa. Plant J 29:215– 223
- Murray MG, Thompson WF (1980) Rapid isolation of highmolecular-weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 8:96–100
- Nishio T, Sakamoto K, Yamaguchi J (1994) PCR-RFLP of S locus for identification of breeding lines in cruciferous vegetables. Plant Cell Rep 13:546–550
- Nishio T, Kusaba M, Watanabe M, Hinata K (1996) Registration of S alleles in Brassica campestris L. by the restriction fragment sizes of SLGs. Theor Appl Genet 92:388–394
- Nishio T, Kusaba M, Sakamoto K, Ockendon DJ (1997) Polymorphism of the kinase domain of the S-locus receptor kinase gene (SRK) in Brassica oleracea L. Theor Appl Genet 95:335–342
- Nou IS, Watanabe M, Isogai A, Hinata K (1993) Comparison of Salleles and S-glycoproteins between two wild populations of Brassica campestris in Turkey and Japan. Sex Plant Reprod 6:79–86
- Ockendon DJ (2000) The S-allele collection of Brassica oleracea. Acta Hort 539:25–30
- Okazaki K, Kusaba M, Ockendon DJ, Nishio T (1999) Characterization of S tester lines in Brassica oleracea: polymorphism of restriction fragment length of SLG homologues and isoelectric points of S-locus glycoproteins. Theor Appl Genet 98:1329– 1334
- Sakamoto K, Nishio T (2001) Distribution of S haplotypes in commercial cultivars of Brassica rapa. Plant Breed 120:155– 161
- Sakamoto K, Kushaba M, Nishio T (1998) Polymorphism of the Slocus glycoprotein gene (SLG) and S-locus related gene (SLR1) in Raphanus sativus L. and self-incompatible ornamental plants in the Brassicaceae. Mol Gen Genet 258:397–403
- Sakamoto K, Kushaba M, Nishio T (2000) Single-seed PCR-RFLP analysis for the identification of S haplotypes in commercial F_1 hybrid cultivars of broccoli and cabbage. Plant Cell Rep 19:400–406
- Sato K, Nishio T, Kimura R, Kusaba M, Suzuki T, Hatakeyama K, Ockendon DJ, Satta Y (2002) Coevolution of the S-locus genes SRK, SLG, and SP11/SCR in Brassica oleracea and B. rapa. Genetics 162:931–940
- Schopfer CR, Nasrallah ME, Nasrallah JB (1999) The male determinant of self-incompatibility in Brassica. Science 286:1697–1700
- Shiba H, Iwano M, Entani T, Ishimoto K, Shimosato H, Che F-S, Satta Y, Ito A, Takeda Y, Watanabe M, Isogai A, Takayama S (2002) The dominance of alleles controlling self-incompatibility in Brassica pollen is regulated at the RNA level. Plant Cell 14:491–504
- Silva NF, Stone SL, Christie LN, Sulaman W, Nazarian KAP, Burnett LA, Arnoldo MA, Rothstein SJ, Goring DR (2001) Expression of the S receptor kinase in self-compatible Brassica napus cv Wester leads to the allele-specific rejection of selfincompatible Brassica napus pollen. Mol Genet Genomics 265:552–559
- Suzuki G, Kai N, Hirose T, Fukui K, Nishio T, Takayama S, Isogai A, Watanabe M, Hinata K (1999) Genomic organization of the S-locus: identification and characterization of genes in SLG/ SRK region of S^9 haplotype of *Brassica campestris* (syn. *rapa*). Genetics 153:391–400
- Takasaki T, Hatakeyama K, Suzuki G, Watanabe M, Isogai A, Hinata K (2000) The S receptor kinase determines selfincompatibility in Brassica stigma. Nature 403:913–916
- Takayama S, Shiba H, Iwano M, Asano K, Hara M, Che F-S, Watanabe M, Hinata K, Isogai A (2000) Isolation and characterization of pollen coat proteins of Brassica campestris that interact with \hat{S} locus-related glycoprotein 1 involved in pollen-stigma adhesion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:3765– 3770
- Takayama S, Shimosato H, Shiba H, Funato M, Che F-S, Watanabe M, Iwano M, Isogai A (2001) Direct ligand-receptor complex interaction controls Brassica self-incompatibility. Nature 413:534–538
- Thompson KF (1957) Self-incompatibility in marrow stem kale, Brassica oleracea var. acephala. I. Demonstration of a sporophytic system. J Genet 55:45–60
- Thompson KF (1972) Competitive interaction between two S alleles in a sporophytically controlled incompatibility system. Heredity 28:1–7
- Thompson KF, Taylor JP (1966) Non-linear dominance relationships between S-alleles. Heredity 21:345–362
- Watanabe M, Ito A, Takeda Y, Ninimiya C, Kakizaki T, Takahata T, Hatakeyama K, Hinata K, Suzuki G, Takasaki T, Satta Y, Shiba H, Takayama S, Isogai A (2000) Highly divergent sequences of the pollen self-incompatibility (S) gene in class-I S haplotypes of Brassica campestris (syn. rapa) L. FEBS Lett 473:139–144